

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,308	11/25/2003	Yuhong Zheng	1-23791	7071
46582 MACMILLAN	7590 11/20/2007 I, SOBANSKI & TODD	EXAMINER		
ONE MARITI	ME PLAZA - FOURTH	BURCH, MELODY M		
720 WATER S TOLEDO, OH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		3683	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,308	ZHENG ET AL.	ZHENG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Melody M. Burch	3683		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):
(1) Melody M. Burch.	(3)
(2) <u>Gregory Robinette</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: <u>15 November 2007</u> .	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>3 and 19</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: Krone et al. and GB's)86 .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims ed.)
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE	ne last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO
	·
	·

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that the prior art of record fails to teach the anticipative pressure relief control achieved by the invention. In support for his argument, Applicant's representative noted that the GB'986 reference employs ordinary pressure relief valves 22 and 24 which relieve pressure upon the reaching of an overpressure condition instead of in anticipation of an overpressure condition. Examiner agreed that the GB'986 reference failed to teach the anticipative pressure relief function but emphasized that the Krone et al. reference was used to satisfy the anticipative pressure relief function. Examiner noted that Krone et al. disclosed a means of anticipating an overpressure condition and adjusting the opening of a valve element accordingly to relieve the anticipated excess pressure. See Krone et al. col. 4 lines 45-47 and col. 6 lines 4-12. Examiner further emphasized that the GB'986 reference was used solely for the teaching that an overpressure condition can result from a pump failing to shut off. Examiner noted that the combination of Krone et al., as modified by GB'986, taught a method of anticipating an overpressure condition resulting from a pump failing to shut off and adjusting the opening of a valve element to be able to relieve the anticipated excess pressure. Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained.